<u>10/12/13</u>

Footpath Diversion amendment. DPC response:

DPC are willing to accept the revised proposals for the new path PQR and on this basis no longer have any objection to the removal of the section of path 18 as proposed.

In respect of path 29 I still have some concerns about the removal of the original route A to E. This is simply because it appears to be a well used path with a historical context.

However I do accept there is advantage to be gained from the creation of the additional path O to I and on the basis of this would be willing to support the amended proposals on the following conditions:

- a) that the new path O to I is properly marked out with signage and removal of fencing/hedging as necessary to denote the path
- b) that the new path A to C is levelled, defined and signed to identify it. In agreeing to this we have taken into account the convenience it presents to the landowner and the fact that the Council wishes to have a productive and reasonable relationship with the landowner.